

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,572	04/14/2004	Kou Nagata	SON-2998	4816
23353 75	90 06/21/2005		EXAMINER	
RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC			PHAN, TRONG Q	
LION BUILDING 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20036		2827	
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7			
		10/823,572	NAGATA ET AL.	(A			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		TRONG PHAN	2827				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state ore to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ill, by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status	·						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>24 May 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 28	o)∏ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from consideration.	·				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t The oath or declaration is objected to	•	• • •				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)		ocuments have been received. locuments have been received in A f the priority documents have beer al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National Stage	ı			
Attachmen	t(s) ee of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice No	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P er No(s)/Mail Date	O-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-18 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of copending Application No. 10/827,351. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because: the redundant lines as recited in claims 1-13 of copending Application No. 10/827,351, are also obviously shared by unit blocks arranged in a form of a matrix pertaining to one dimensional group in a row direction and a column direction such as the redundant lines as recited in claims 1-18 of the present invention.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

3. Claims 1-18 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24 of

copending Application No. 10/774,573. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because: the redundant lines as recited in claims 1-13 of copending Application No. 10/774,573, are also obviously shared by unit blocks arranged in a form of a matrix pertaining to one dimensional group in a row direction and a column direction such as the redundant lines as recited in claims 1-18 of the present invention.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TRONG PHAN whose telephone number is (571) 272-1794. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

Application/Control Number: 10/823,572 Page 4

Art Unit: 2827

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, HOAI HO can be reached on (571)272-1777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TRONG PHAN PRIMARY EXAMINER